

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/824,283	04/14/2004	Ching-Pang Lee	146806	6202	
7	7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick			WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD		
Armstrong Tea Suite 2600	isdale LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitan Square			3745		
St. Louis, MO 63102			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/824,283	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan Wiehe	3745	

	Nathan Wiehe	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILEO WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oright than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(770) 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amondmo	nt conceling the
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>14-20</u> would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owabie ii submilled in a separale,	umely liled amendine	nt canceling the
7. $igwidz$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $ig $		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-20</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	, , , , ,		
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		Sk Jook	
		EDWARD K. LOOK	
		RVISORY PATENT EXAM	
	TE	CHNOL <b>ogy Center</b> 37	, ,
		6/12	<i>,</i> ₀ <del>0</del>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a purge chamber not being actively cooled is not a previously presented limitation (See claim 14) and would at least require further consideration and/or search.